# School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Template 

Instructions and requirements for completing the SPSA template may be found in the SPSA Template Instructions.

| School Name | County-District-School (CDS) Code | Schoolsite Council (SSC) Approval Date | Local Board Approval Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T. L. Whitehead Elementary School | 57727100000000 | 4-20-2021 | June 3, 2021 |

## Purpose and Description

Briefly describe the purpose of this plan (Select from Schoolwide Program, Comprehensive Support and Improvement, Targeted Support and Improvement, or Additional Targeted Support and Improvement)
Schoolwide Program

Briefly describe the school's plan for effectively meeting the ESSA requirements in alignment with the Local Control and Accountability Plan and other federal, state, and local programs.
The School Wide Plan meets the ESSA requirements through:
A comprehensive needs assessment of the entire school that includes information on the academic achievement of students in relation to the challenging state academic standards, particularly the needs of those students who are failing, or are at risk of failing, to meet the challenging state academic standards.

The school wide plan was developed to support the needs of the students in the school as identified through the comprehensive needs assessment. These include:

- strategies that the school is implementing to address the school needs by providing opportunities for all students to meet the challenging state academic standards.
- The use of methods and instructional strategies that strengthen the academic program in the school, increase the amount and quality of learning time, and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum programs, activities, and courses necessary to provide a well rounded education, and strategies that address the needs of all students in the school, but particularly the needs of those students at risk of not meeting the challenging academic standards.

The school wide plan addresses parent and family engagement by conducting outreach to all parents and family members, including:

- a school and family engagement policy
- a school and parent compact that addresses shared responsibility for high student academic achievement, and building capacity for involvement.


## Stakeholder Involvement

How, when, and with whom did the school consult as part of the planning process for this SPSA/Annual Review and Update?

## Involvement Process for the SPSA and Annual Review and Update

Whitehead Elementary's School Site Council meets at least 5 times per year, and reviews: the school's data, the progress made on goals within the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), as well as participate in the needs assessment process, and develop and approve the annual School Plan.

Formal needs assessments were conducted with multiple stakeholder groups at Whitehead Elementary including ELAC (English Learner Advisory Committee), School Site Council, staff, and with students. Each meeting included an in-depth review of the most recent California School Dashboard data for Whitehead Elementary School students' academic performance, attendance, reclassification rate, and suspension rate. Additionally, informal needs assessments occurred on a frequent basis through conversations with administration, parents, staff and students.

Student input was gathered through a survey focused on Student Voice, of which 42 (26\%) 4 th/5th/6th grade students responded. Student focus groups were created, with a balanced representation of student groups: 12 students ( $13 \%$ of 4 th-6th grades) comprised of 7 EL (English Learner), 1 EL Urdu, 1 migrant , 1 Foster Program, and 3 males $/ 9$ females. Student focus groups completed a needs assessment by reviewing survey, academic, and local data. Students identified bullying as an area of concern. Students then provided an analysis of causes, and collaborated to provide recommendations to improve outcomes for students. As a follow up, student focus groups met again on $5 / 19 / 21$, reviewed the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA), reviewed their suggestions, and provided feedback on the strategies chosen for implementation.

Additional needs assessments were conducted. On March 30, 2021 a team of Whitehead staff conducted an in -depth review of Whitehead students' performance data, identified Chronic Absenteeism as an area of need, and proposed actions and strategies to support these needs. Areas of concern included home environment, how to help families improve attendance, incentivizing distance learning, and improving in a school-wide focus of being student-centered.

Needs assessment meetings were also held with ELAC on March 18, 2021, and with School Site Council on February 25, 2021. In each meeting, Chronic Absenteeism was found to be the greatest need on the California School Dashboard.

ELAC and staff reviewed the SPSA on $5 / 20 / 21$, and provided additional feedback. School site council reviewed the plan on $5 / 20 / 21$, considered recommendations and feedback from all groups, and finalized/approved the SPSA on 5/20/21.

## Resource Inequities

Briefly identify and describe any resource inequities identified as a result of the required needs assessment, as applicable.
N/A

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Enrollment <br> Enrollment By Student Group

| Student Enrollment by Subgroup |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Percent of Enrollment |  |  | Number of Students |  |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 19-20 |
| American Indian | \% | \% | 0.27\% |  |  | 1 |
| African American | 0.92\% | 0.97\% | 1.63\% | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Asian | 5.98\% | 5.11\% | 4.61\% | 26 | 21 | 17 |
| Filipino | 0.23\% | 0.73\% | 0.54\% | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 74.25\% | 75.43\% | 78.86\% | 323 | 310 | 291 |
| Pacific Islander | 0.69\% | 0.49\% | 0.27\% | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| White | 15.40\% | 14.11\% | 10.3\% | 67 | 58 | 38 |
| Multiple/No Response | 0.46\% | 1.46\% | 1.63\% | 2 | 6 | 7 |
|  | Total Enrollment |  |  | 435 | 411 | 369 |

## Student Enrollment

 Enrollment By Grade Level| Grade |  | Student Enrollment by Grade Level |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Students |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $19-20$ |  |
| Kindergarten | 73 | 73 | 70 |  |
| Grade 1 | 73 | 47 | 44 |  |
| Grade 2 | 61 | 67 | 37 |  |
| Grade3 | 59 | 54 | 56 |  |
| Grade 4 | 58 | 64 | 48 |  |
| Grade 5 | 53 | 54 | 56 |  |
| Grade 6 | 58 | 52 | 58 |  |
| Total Enrollment | 435 | 411 | 369 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our school enrollment declined by 42 students with 2 nd grade taking the largest reduction of 30 students. Spring Lake's opening may have affected Whitehead's 1st grade decline in enrollment.
2. Based on the student group data, we can see that our Hispanic group decreased by 19 students and our White group decreased by 20 students.

## School and Student Performance Data

Student Enrollment
English Learner (EL) Enrollment

| English Learner (EL) Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Number of Students |  | Percent of Students |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 0}$ |  |  |
| English Learners | 185 | 163 | 131 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 7} \%$ | $35.5 \%$ |  |  |
| Fluent English Proficient (FEP) | 70 | 73 | 81 | $16.1 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $22.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Reclassified Fluent English Proficient (RFEP) | 29 | 24 | 37 | $14.6 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $22.7 \%$ |  |  |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of English Learners continues to decline at 4\%, a faster rate than years previous (3\%).
2. In reviewing our reclassification data, we find an increase in the number of students who have been reclassified over the past two years. $13 \%$ to $23 \%$. However, last year declined from the year previous.
3. The number of Fluent English Proficient students has increased by $4 \%$ in the last two years. However, last year showed little growth from the previous year.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> English Language Arts/Literacy (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 64 | 62 | 52 | 64 | 60 | 52 | 64 | 60 | 52 | 100 | 96.8 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 50 | 57 | 61 | 48 | 56 | 60 | 48 | 56 | 60 | 96 | 98.2 | 98.4 |
| Grade 5 | 56 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 51 | 51 | 56 | 51 | 51 | 100 | 98.1 | 94.4 |
| Grade 6 | 55 | 59 | 54 | 55 | 58 | 54 | 55 | 58 | 54 | 100 | 98.3 | 100 |
| All | 225 | 230 | 221 | 223 | 225 | 217 | 223 | 225 | 217 | 99.1 | 97.8 | 98.2 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 2383. | 2396. | 2402. | 7.81 | 11.67 | 9.62 | 23.44 | 16.67 | 30.77 | 28.13 | 38.33 | 25.00 | 40.63 | 33.33 | 34.62 |
| Grade 4 | 2454. | 2431. | 2436. | 10.42 | 14.29 | 10.00 | 35.42 | 21.43 | 23.33 | 22.92 | 21.43 | 26.67 | 31.25 | 42.86 | 40.00 |
| Grade 5 | 2466. | 2503. | 2472. | 12.50 | 13.73 | 17.65 | 25.00 | 45.10 | 23.53 | 19.64 | 19.61 | 21.57 | 42.86 | 21.57 | 37.25 |
| Grade 6 | 2522. | 2481. | 2546. | 7.27 | 8.62 | 25.93 | 41.82 | 20.69 | 37.04 | 30.91 | 31.03 | 18.52 | 20.00 | 39.66 | 18.52 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9.42 | 12.00 | 15.67 | 30.94 | 25.33 | 28.57 | 25.56 | 28.00 | 23.04 | 34.08 | 34.67 | 32.72 |


| Reading <br> Demonstrating understanding of literary and non-fictional texts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 12.50 | 10.00 | 17.31 | 45.31 | 61.67 | 57.69 | 42.19 | 28.33 | 25.00 |
| Grade 4 | 16.67 | 14.29 | 16.67 | 52.08 | 48.21 | 48.33 | 31.25 | 37.50 | 35.00 |
| Grade 5 | 16.07 | 19.61 | 15.69 | 37.50 | 56.86 | 50.98 | 46.43 | 23.53 | 33.33 |
| Grade 6 | 16.36 | 18.97 | 24.07 | 50.91 | 32.76 | 55.56 | 32.73 | 48.28 | 20.37 |
| All Grades | 15.25 | 15.56 | 18.43 | 46.19 | 49.78 | 53.00 | 38.57 | 34.67 | 28.57 |


| Croducing clear and purposeful writing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  | \% At or Near Standard |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 3 | 4.69 | 10.00 | 7.69 | 53.13 | 41.67 | 50.00 | 42.19 | 48.33 | 42.31 |
| Grade 4 | 10.42 | 12.50 | 11.67 | 52.08 | 42.86 | 55.00 | 37.50 | 44.64 | 33.33 |
| Grade 5 | 17.86 | 25.49 | 11.76 | 41.07 | 50.98 | 50.98 | 41.07 | 23.53 | 37.25 |
| Grade 6 | 20.00 | 13.79 | 27.78 | 50.91 | 43.10 | 48.15 | 29.09 | 43.10 | 24.07 |
| All Grades | 13.00 | 15.11 | 14.75 | 49.33 | 44.44 | 51.15 | 37.67 | 40.44 | 34.10 |


| Listening <br> Demonstrating effective communication skills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 6.25 | 8.33 | 21.15 | 65.63 | 73.33 | 55.77 | 28.13 | 18.33 | 23.08 |
| Grade 4 | 18.75 | 12.50 | 10.00 | 66.67 | 67.86 | 73.33 | 14.58 | 19.64 | 16.67 |
| Grade 5 | 5.36 | 15.69 | 17.65 | 66.07 | 70.59 | 47.06 | 28.57 | 13.73 | 35.29 |
| Grade 6 | 21.82 | 5.17 | 18.52 | 58.18 | 63.79 | 70.37 | 20.00 | 31.03 | 11.11 |
| All Grades | 12.56 | 10.22 | 16.59 | 64.13 | 68.89 | 62.21 | 23.32 | 20.89 | 21.20 |


| Research/Inquiry <br> Investigating, analyzing, and presenting information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 6.25 | 11.67 | 13.46 | 57.81 | 50.00 | 46.15 | 35.94 | 38.33 | 40.38 |
| Grade 4 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 10.00 | 66.67 | 51.79 | 55.00 | 20.83 | 35.71 | 35.00 |
| Grade 5 | 17.86 | 25.49 | 13.73 | 41.07 | 62.75 | 56.86 | 41.07 | 11.76 | 29.41 |
| Grade 6 | 21.82 | 18.97 | 33.33 | 65.45 | 50.00 | 50.00 | 12.73 | 31.03 | 16.67 |
| All Grades | 14.35 | 16.89 | 17.51 | 57.40 | 53.33 | 52.07 | 28.25 | 29.78 | 30.41 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. As a whole school, we are increasing the percentage of students meeting or exceeding standard, while also decreasing the number of students nearly meeting or not meeting standard. This indicates both groups are having their needs met. Writing is a concern, as one third of the students are below standard.
2. In Reading, the third grade cohort's Below Standard scores are $42.19 \%$ in $16 / 17,37.50 \%$ in $17 / 18$, and $33.33 \%$ in $18 / 19$. This is a three year trend of the third grade cohort declining in the percent of third graders scoring Below Standard. In Reading, the fourth grade cohort's Below Standard scores are 31.25\% in 16/17, 23.53\% in 17/18, and $20.37 \%$ in $18 / 19$. This is a three year trend of the fourth grade cohort declining in the percent of fourth graders scoring Below Standard. This means fewer students in both cohorts are scoring Below Standard over time.

In the reading domain, the third grade cohort's Above Standard scores are $12.50 \%$ in $16 / 17,14.29 \%$ in $17 / 18$, and $15.69 \%$ in $18 / 19$. This is a three year trend of the third grade cohort increasing in the percent of third graders scoring Above Standard. In the Reading domain, the fourth grade cohort's Above Standard scores are $16.67 \%$ in $16 / 17,19.61 \%$ in $17 / 18$, and $24.07 \%$ in $18 / 19$. This is a three year trend of fourth graders increasing in the percent scoring Above Standard. This means more students in both cohorts are scoring Above standard over time.

Students are performing at a higher level in the Reading domain over time. This indicates that the Articulated PLC's (Cross-Grade Professional Learning Communities) produced higher test scores by increasing teacher knowledge of ELA (English Language Arts) standards for the grades below and above their own grade level. A continued focus on Articulated PLC's will support on-going achievement in Reading.
3. The percentage of students at or near standard in writing decreased at all grades with the exception of 5 th grade increasing by $14 \%$. The only increase of students below standard in Reading is 5 th grade at $10 \%$, even with the addition of a Title I intervention teacher serving fifth grade.

## School and Student Performance Data

## CAASPP Results <br> Mathematics (All Students)

| Overall Participation for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \# of Students Enrolled |  |  | \# of Students Tested |  |  | \# of Students with |  |  | \% of Enrolled Students |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 64 | 62 | 52 | 64 | 62 | 52 | 64 | 62 | 52 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Grade 4 | 50 | 57 | 61 | 49 | 57 | 60 | 49 | 57 | 60 | 98 | 100 | 98.4 |
| Grade 5 | 56 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 52 | 54 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Grade 6 | 55 | 59 | 54 | 55 | 58 | 54 | 55 | 58 | 54 | 100 | 98.3 | 100 |
| All | 225 | 230 | 221 | 224 | 229 | 220 | 224 | 229 | 220 | 99.6 | 99.6 | 99.5 |

*The "\% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

| Overall Achievement for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Mean Scale Score |  |  | \% Standard |  |  | \% Standard Met |  |  | \% Standard Nearly |  |  | \% Standard Not |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 2384. | 2392. | 2416. | 4.69 | 11.29 | 9.62 | 21.88 | 11.29 | 30.77 | 23.44 | 32.26 | 32.69 | 50.00 | 45.16 | 26.92 |
| Grade 4 | 2459. | 2436. | 2439. | 8.16 | 10.53 | 8.33 | 26.53 | 15.79 | 20.00 | 38.78 | 36.84 | 35.00 | 26.53 | 36.84 | 36.67 |
| Grade 5 | 2480. | 2488. | 2463. | 17.86 | 13.46 | 12.96 | 12.50 | 17.31 | 12.96 | 28.57 | 32.69 | 22.22 | 41.07 | 36.54 | 51.85 |
| Grade 6 | 2517. | 2458. | 2538. | 7.27 | 6.90 | 22.22 | 27.27 | 17.24 | 20.37 | 40.00 | 25.86 | 38.89 | 25.45 | 50.00 | 18.52 |
| All Grades | N/A | N/A | N/A | 9.38 | 10.48 | 13.18 | 21.88 | 15.28 | 20.91 | 32.14 | 31.88 | 32.27 | 36.61 | 42.36 | 33.64 |


| Concepts \& Procedures <br> Applying mathematical concepts and procedures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 15.63 | 19.35 | 15.38 | 28.13 | 27.42 | 44.23 | 56.25 | 53.23 | 40.38 |
| Grade 4 | 12.24 | 15.79 | 15.00 | 53.06 | 35.09 | 30.00 | 34.69 | 49.12 | 55.00 |
| Grade 5 | 23.21 | 19.23 | 16.67 | 28.57 | 28.85 | 25.93 | 48.21 | 51.92 | 57.41 |
| Grade 6 | 25.45 | 12.07 | 24.07 | 47.27 | 25.86 | 42.59 | 27.27 | 62.07 | 33.33 |
| All Grades | 19.20 | 16.59 | 17.73 | 38.39 | 29.26 | 35.45 | 42.41 | 54.15 | 46.82 |

Problem Solving \& Modeling/Data Analysis
Using appropriate tools and strategies to solve real world and mathematical problems

| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 - 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| Grade 3 | 10.94 | 11.29 | 25.00 | 32.81 | 45.16 | 44.23 | 56.25 | 43.55 | 30.77 |
| Grade 4 | 12.24 | 8.77 | 10.00 | 57.14 | 35.09 | 38.33 | 30.61 | 56.14 | 51.67 |
| Grade 5 | 16.07 | 23.08 | 9.26 | 42.86 | 40.38 | 42.59 | 41.07 | 36.54 | 48.15 |
| Grade 6 | 10.91 | 12.07 | 20.37 | 47.27 | 34.48 | 53.70 | 41.82 | 53.45 | 25.93 |
| All Grades | 12.50 | 13.54 | 15.91 | 44.20 | 38.86 | 44.55 | 43.30 | 47.60 | 39.55 |


| Communicating Reasoning <br> Demonstrating ability to support mathematical conclusions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | \% Above Standard |  |  | \% At or Near Standard |  |  | \% Below Standard |  |  |
|  | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 16-17 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade 3 | 7.81 | 11.29 | 23.08 | 54.69 | 45.16 | 53.85 | 37.50 | 43.55 | 23.08 |
| Grade 4 | 10.20 | 12.28 | 8.33 | 53.06 | 38.60 | 40.00 | 36.73 | 49.12 | 51.67 |
| Grade 5 | 12.50 | 9.62 | 9.26 | 42.86 | 46.15 | 46.30 | 44.64 | 44.23 | 44.44 |
| Grade 6 | 12.73 | 12.07 | 20.37 | 49.09 | 36.21 | 53.70 | 38.18 | 51.72 | 25.93 |
| All Grades | 10.71 | 11.35 | 15.00 | 50.00 | 41.48 | 48.18 | 39.29 | 47.16 | 36.82 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. School-wide, the percent of students at standard decreased each year, with the exception of 6 th grade increasing by $15 \%$. The percent of students that did not meet standard decreased, with the exception of 5 th grade increasing by $15 \%$. This indicates an overall shift of fewer students at standard and fewer students not at standard.
2. In basic concepts and procedures, grades 4 and 5 have more than $50 \%$ of the students below standard. Two year cohort data also show that students moving from grade 3 to 4 and 4 to 5 have an increase in the percent of students below standard. This indicates that grades 4 and 5 need professional development in mathematics.
3. As a school, in communicating reasoning grades 4 and 5 have slight increases in the percent of students below standard, while grades 3 and 5 have significant decreases in the percent of students below standard. This indicates that grades 4 and 5 need professional development in Mathematics.

## School and Student Performance Data

## ELPAC Results

| ELPAC Summative Assessment Data <br> Number of Students and Mean Scale Scores for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Overall |  | Oral Language |  | Written Language |  | Number of Students Tested |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| Grade K | 1438.4 | 1422.8 | 1458.0 | 1436.5 | 1392.4 | 1390.5 | 36 | 34 |
| Grade 1 | 1462.9 | 1459.7 | 1458.3 | 1471.4 | 1467.0 | 1447.6 | 32 | 21 |
| Grade 2 | 1515.1 | 1485.9 | 1513.9 | 1486.6 | 1515.7 | 1484.8 | 28 | 20 |
| Grade 3 | 1501.6 | 1506.3 | 1495.5 | 1499.7 | 1507.2 | 1512.5 | 24 | 12 |
| Grade 4 | 1501.9 | 1507.6 | 1493.3 | 1490.1 | 1510.0 | 1524.5 | 18 | 19 |
| Grade 5 | * | 1497.1 | * | 1479.5 | * | 1514.3 | * | 15 |
| Grade 6 | 1533.1 | * | 1535.2 | * | 1530.5 | * | 17 | 5 |
| All Grades |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162 | 126 |

Overall Language
Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students

| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | 36.11 | 8.82 | 33.33 | 44.12 | * | 32.35 | * | 14.71 | 36 | 34 |
| 1 | 37.50 | 4.76 | * | 57.14 | * | 28.57 | * | 9.52 | 32 | 21 |
| 2 | 71.43 | 10.00 | * | 45.00 | * | 45.00 |  | 0.00 | 28 | 20 |
| 3 | * | 16.67 | 58.33 | 66.67 | * | 16.67 | * | 0.00 | 24 | 12 |
| 4 | * | 26.32 | * | 47.37 | * | 5.26 | * | 21.05 | 18 | 19 |
| 5 | * | 20.00 | * | 33.33 |  | 6.67 | * | 40.00 | * | 15 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | * |
| All Grades | 36.42 | 13.49 | 39.51 | 47.62 | 14.20 | 23.81 | 9.88 | 15.08 | 162 | 126 |


| Oral Language <br> Percentage of Students at Each Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Level 4 |  | Level 3 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 1 |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | 52.78 | 17.65 | 33.33 | 44.12 | * | 23.53 | * | 14.71 | 36 | 34 |
| 1 | 50.00 | 23.81 | * | 52.38 | * | 19.05 | * | 4.76 | 32 | 21 |
| 2 | 78.57 | 20.00 | * | 65.00 | * | 15.00 | * | 0.00 | 28 | 20 |
| 3 | 54.17 | 33.33 | * | 50.00 | * | 16.67 | * | 0.00 | 24 | 12 |
| 4 | * | 52.63 | * | 26.32 | * | 0.00 | * | 21.05 | 18 | 19 |
| 5 | * | 33.33 | * | 26.67 |  | 6.67 | * | 33.33 | * | 15 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | * |
| All Grades | 56.17 | 27.78 | 27.78 | 43.65 | 8.02 | 15.08 | 8.02 | 13.49 | 162 | 126 |


| Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| K | 72.22 | 11.76 | $*$ | 82.35 | $*$ | 5.88 | 36 | 34 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 68.75 | 76.19 | $*$ | 23.81 | $*$ | 0.00 | 32 | 21 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 78.57 | 40.00 | $*$ | 60.00 |  | 0.00 | 28 | 20 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 54.17 | 16.67 | $*$ | 75.00 | $*$ | 8.33 | 24 | 12 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $*$ | 31.58 | $*$ | 42.11 | $*$ | 26.32 | 18 | 19 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $*$ | 13.33 | $*$ | 53.33 | $*$ | 33.33 | $*$ | 15 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 17 | $*$ |  |
| All Grades | 59.88 | 30.95 | 33.33 | 56.35 | 6.79 | 12.70 | 162 | 126 |  |

Speaking Domain
Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students

| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |
| K | 47.22 | 20.59 | 44.44 | 61.76 | $*$ | 17.65 | 36 | 34 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 43.75 | 4.76 | 37.50 | 90.48 | $*$ | 4.76 | 32 | 21 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 82.14 | 15.00 | $*$ | 85.00 | $*$ | 0.00 | 28 | 20 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 66.67 | 41.67 | $*$ | 58.33 | $*$ | 0.00 | 24 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 66.67 | 57.89 | $*$ | 26.32 | $*$ | 15.79 | 18 | 19 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $*$ | 53.33 | $*$ | 13.33 | $*$ | 33.33 | $*$ | 15 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 70.59 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 17 | $*$ |
| All Grades | 61.11 | 28.57 | 27.78 | 57.94 | 11.11 | 13.49 | 162 | 126 |


| Reading Domain <br> Percentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number of Students |  |
|  | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 | 17-18 | 18-19 |
| K | * | 0.00 | 72.22 | 88.24 | * | 11.76 | 36 | 34 |
| 1 | 56.25 | 23.81 | * | 42.86 | * | 33.33 | 32 | 21 |
| 2 | 64.29 | 15.00 | * | 75.00 | * | 10.00 | 28 | 20 |
| 3 | * | 0.00 | 70.83 | 75.00 | * | 25.00 | 24 | 12 |
| 4 | * | 10.53 | 66.67 | 63.16 | * | 26.32 | 18 | 19 |
| 5 |  | 13.33 | * | 46.67 | * | 40.00 | * | 15 |
| 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 17 | * |
| All Grades | 27.78 | 9.52 | 51.23 | 66.67 | 20.99 | 23.81 | 162 | 126 |


| Wercentage of Students by Domain Performance Level for All Students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade <br> Level | Well Developed |  | Somewhat/Moderately |  | Beginning |  | Total Number <br> of Students |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 - 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 - 1 9}$ |  |
| K | 41.67 | 38.24 | $*$ | 41.18 | 30.56 | 20.59 | 36 | 34 |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $*$ | 4.76 | 62.50 | 66.67 | $*$ | 28.57 | 32 | 21 |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 42.86 | 10.00 | 57.14 | 80.00 |  | 10.00 | 28 | 20 |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $*$ | 41.67 | 58.33 | 58.33 | $*$ | 0.00 | 24 | 12 |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $*$ | 47.37 | $*$ | 42.11 | $*$ | 10.53 | 18 | 19 |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $*$ | 13.33 | $*$ | 46.67 | $*$ | 40.00 | $*$ | 15 |  |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $*$ | $*$ | 70.59 | $*$ | $*$ | $*$ | 17 | $*$ |  |
| All Grades | 35.80 | 26.98 | 49.38 | 53.97 | 14.81 | 19.05 | 162 | 126 |  |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The percentage of students performing at a Level 4 in Oral Language decreased at all grade levels by more than 20\%.
2. The domain with the highest percentage of beginners in reading is fifth grade writing and reading, both at $40 \%$.
3. All grade levels have decreased numbers of students taking the ELPAC (English Language Proficiency Assessment for California) ranging from 1-18 students, with the exception of 5th grade testing one more student. $20 \%$ of students in 5 th grade scored a Level 4, but were not redesignated. Therefore, they did not meet grade level standards.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Student Population

This section provides information about the school's student population.

| 2018-19 Student Population |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total <br> Enrollment | Socioeconomically <br> Disadvantaged | English <br> Learners | Foster <br> Youth |
| 411 | 72.5 | 39.7 | 1.2 |

This is the total number of students enrolled.

This is the percent of students who are eligible for free or reduced priced meals; or have parents/guardians who did not receive a high school diploma.

This is the percent of students who are learning to communicate effectively in English, typically requiring instruction in both the English Language and in their academic courses.

This is the percent of students whose well-being is the responsibility of a court.

| 2018-19 Enrollment for All Students/Student Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| English Learners | 163 | 39.7 |
| Foster Youth | 5 | 1.2 |
| Homeless | 6 | 1.5 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 298 | 72.5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 39 | 9.5 |


| Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Group | Total | Percentage |
| African American | 4 | 1.0 |
| Asian | 21 | 5.1 |
| Filipino | 3 | 0.7 |
| Hispanic | 310 | 75.4 |
| Two or More Races | 7 | 1.7 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 0.5 |
| White | 58 | 14.1 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. Our largest student group by ethnicity is our Hispanic students with $75.4 \%$.
2. Our White student group and our Asian student group make up our next two largest groups with $14.1 \%$ and $5.1 \%$ respectively.
3. A large percentage ( $72.5 \%$ ) of our population is identified as socioeconomically disadvantaged.

## School and Student Performance Data

Overall Performance

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Overall Performance for All Students



| Conditions \& Climate |
| :---: |
| Suspension Rate |
| Green |

Mathematics


Yellow

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. We have made substantial progress in all areas except Chronic Absenteeism.
2. Although every area can be improved, Chronic Absenteeism is the only area at or below orange, which suggests a continued need to focus on this area. Whitehead has contracted with Attendance Works to provide additional professional development and support the development of a chronic absenteeism plan.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Language Arts

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance


Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Performance for All Students/Student Group


Students with Disabilities


No Performance Color
95.8 points below standard

Declined -3.7 points

25


This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the English Language Arts assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard English Language Arts Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner |
| :---: |
| 113.5 points below standard |
| Declined -13.8 points |
| 45 |


| Reclassified English Learners |
| :---: |
| 5.4 points above standard |
| Increased ++5 points |
| 82 |


| English Only |
| :---: |
| 13 points below standard |
| Increased ++7.3 points |
| 69 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. All subgroups increased performance, with the exception of students with disabilities decreasing by 3.7 points.
2. With the exception of students with disabilities, all student groups increased in ELA performance. Hispanic students are designated as increasing significantly in ELA (English Language Arts) performance.
3. Current English Learners decreased performance in ELA by 13.8 points, which implies a need for focused professional development and coaching, with an emphasis on integrated ELD (English Language Development) supports and scaffolds.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

Mathematics
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.
2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Equity Report

| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green | Blue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Performance for All Students/Student Group


## Students with Disabilities



No Performance Color
118.1 points below standard

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Increased } \\
& \text { Significantly } \\
& ++19.3 \text { points }
\end{aligned}
$$

| African American |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 Students - Data |
| Not Displayed for Privacy |
| 1 |
|  |


| American Indian |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |


| Hispanic |
| :---: |
| Yellow |


| Two or More Races |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| Less than 11 Students - Data |
| Not Displayed for Privacy |
| 5 |
|  |


No Performance Color
16.8 points above standard
Increased Significantly
++29 points
16


| White |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 7.1 points above standard |
| Increased ++3.9 points |
| 24 |

This section provides a view of Student Assessment Results and other aspects of this school's performance, specifically how well students are meeting grade-level standards on the Mathematics assessment. This measure is based on student performance on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment, which is taken annually by students in grades 3-8 and grade 11.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Mathematics Data Comparisons for English Learners

| Current English Learner | Reclassified English Learners | English Only |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107.1 points below standard | 15.8 points below standard | 30.1 points below standard |
| Maintained ++1.9 points | Increased Significantly | Increased ++10.9 points |
| 44 | $\begin{gathered} ++16.4 \text { points } \\ 82 \end{gathered}$ | 69 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. All subgroups "Increased Significantly", with the exception of White students increasing "Significantly", which shows that the focus on mathematics and STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math) has been impactful on mathematics performance.
2. All ethnicities "Increased Significantly", with the exception of White students increasing "significantly". However, White students showed the least amount of growth at 3.9 points.
3. When comparing English learners, reclassified students showed the most gain and were designated as "Increased Significantly".

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance <br> English Learner Progress

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students making progress towards English language proficiency or maintaining the highest level.

2019 Fall Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator

| English Learner Progress |
| :---: |
| No Performance Color |
| 46 making progress towards English |
| language proficiency |
| Number of EL Students: 87 |
| Performance Level: Medium |

This section provides a view of the percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4, maintained lower ELPI levels (i.e, levels 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H), or decreased at least one ELPI Level.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Student English Language Acquisition Results

| Decreased <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 22.9 |

Maintained ELPI Level 1, 2L, 2H, 3L, or 3H
31.0
Maintained ELPI Level 4
1.1

| Progressed At Least <br> One ELPI Level |
| :---: |
| 44.8 |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Even with the new state measurements and levels, only $45 \%$ of students made progress by one level.
2. $31 \%$ of students decreased their English Learner Performance Indicator (ELPI) level, which could be due to the new ELPI levels between 2 and 3 (2 Low, 2 High, 3 Low, 3 High vs just 2 and 3), but also suggests a need to focus on improving instruction for English Learners.
3. 1 student maintained a Level 4 and is eligible for reclassification based on ELPAC criteria.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Performance

College/Career
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest |
| Performance |  |  |  |  |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |

This section provides information on the percentage of high school graduates who are placed in the "Prepared" level on the College/Career Indicator.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career for All Students/Student Group



This section provides a view of the percent of students per year that qualify as Not Prepared, Approaching Prepared, and Prepared.

2019 Fall Dashboard College/Career 3-Year Performance

| Class of 2017 | Class of 2018 | Class of 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared <br> Approaching Prepared Not Prepared | Prepared | Prepared |
|  | Approaching Prepared | Approaching Prepared |
|  | Not Prepared | Not Prepared |

Conclusions based on this data:

1. Data not available at the elementary level.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement

Chronic Absenteeism
The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

$\underset{\text { Yellow }}{\text { T }}$

Green

Blue

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Blue | 0 |  |  |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 8 who are absent 10 percent or more of the instructional days they were enrolled.

2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism for All Students/Student Group




Students with Disabilities


Orange
25
Declined -8.3

48

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Chronic Absenteeism by Race/Ethnicity

| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy | No Performance Color Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy | No Performance Color $\begin{gathered} 9.5 \\ \text { Increased }+2.1 \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ | No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students - Data Not Displayed for Privacy |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
| $\frac{K_{\text {Red }}}{}$ | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  |
| 15.8 | 13.3 | Less than 11 Students - Data | 13.6 |
| Increased Significantly +4.1 $316$ | Declined -9.7 <br> 15 | $2$ | Declined -1.7 <br> 59 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. The only subgroup to show decreased Chronic Absenteeism is Students with Disabilities ( -8.3 points), which shows an improvement for this group.
2. The only ethnicity to show decreased Chronic Absenteeism is White ( -1.7 points).
3. English Learners remain the only subgroup in red and Hispanic students are the only ethnicity to remain in red. A focus on improving attendance is needed for these groups.

## School and Student Performance Data

## Academic Engagement Graduation Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:

| Lowest |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Performance | Red |  | Gellow | Highest <br> Perfformance |

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

| 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate Equity Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |

This section provides information about students completing high school, which includes students who receive a standard high school diploma or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students | English Learners |  | Foster Youth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Homeless | Socioeconomically Disadvantaged |  | Students with Disabilities |
| 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who received a high school diploma within four years of entering ninth grade or complete their graduation requirements at an alternative school.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Graduation Rate by Year

## 2018

Conclusions based on this data:
1.

## School and Student Performance Data <br> Conditions \& Climate Suspension Rate

The performance levels are color-coded and range from lowest-to-highest performance in the following order:
Lowest
Performance

Highest Performance

This section provides number of student groups in each color.

|  | 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate Equity Report |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Red | Orange | Yellow | Green |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 |

This section provides information about the percentage of students in kindergarten through grade 12 who have been suspended at least once in a given school year. Students who are suspended multiple times are only counted once.

2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate for All Students/Student Group

| All Students |
| :---: |
| Green |
| 1.2 |
| Declined Significantly -5.4 |
| 427 |


| English Learners |
| :---: |
| Blue |
| 0.6 |
| Declined Significantly -5.5 |
| 167 |



| Students with Disabilities |
| :---: |
| Yellow |
| 4.1 |
| Declined -3.9 |
| 49 |


| African American | American Indian | Asian | Filipino |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students Data not displayed for privacy |  | No Performance Color <br> 0 <br> Declined -3.6 21 | No Performance Color <br> Less than 11 Students Data not displayed for privacy |
| Hispanic | Two or More Races | Pacific Islander | White |
|  | No Performance Color | No Performance Color |  |
| 1.3 | 0 | Less than 11 Students Data not displayed for privacy | $1.7$ |
| Declined Significantly -5.8 320 | Maintained 0 <br> 15 |  | Declined -5 <br> 60 |

This section provides a view of the percentage of students who were suspended.

## 2019 Fall Dashboard Suspension Rate by Year

| 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6.6 | 1.2 |

## Conclusions based on this data:

1. All subgroups declined in Suspension Rate. 3 out of 4 subgroups "declined significantly". The school's focus on restorative practices and PBIS (Positive Behavior Intervention and Support) may be a cause for this decrease.
2. Socioeconomically Disadvantaged students had a significant reduction in suspensions which significantly declined by 6.3 points.
3. Hispanic students' suspension rate declined significantly. The school as a whole went from red to green on the dashboard.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Each student will meet the skills and competencies of the graduate profile in order to be college and career ready through a rigorous, intellectually rich, and culturally relevant environment.

## Goal 1

Each student will meet the skills and competencies of the graduate profile in order to be college and career ready through a rigorous, intellectually rich, and culturally relevant environment.

## Identified Need

Stakeholder input and the district dashboard data show that elementary students and their parents need to be supported in understanding college and career options.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

Metric/Indicator
Number of students who
participate in Visual and
Performing Arts (VAPA) in 20-
21.

Attendance rate at Open House/Science Night.
Percentage of students participating in one STEM
(Science Technology Engineering and Math) presentation each year.

Baseline/Actual Outcome
$3 \%$ of 5th -6th graders are in band/strings.

Postponed for 20-21/ 0\% attendance

Postponed for 20-21/ 0\% attendance

## Expected Outcome

The opportunity to participate in instrumental band or strings will be offered to all 5-6 graders. $15 \%$ of the 5 th/6th grade classes will participate in band/strings.
ASES will offer a VAPA class to provide all students participation in VAPA. Each teacher will create and implement one VAPA lesson each trimester.

> 90\% of families will attend Open House/Science Night 95\% of students will participate in one STEM presentation in $21-22$

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

All students with an emphasis on Foster Youth, English learners and Students with Disabilities.

Strategy/Activity

A comprehensive program to improve student access to colleges and careers:
Provide resources to support videos and power points as examples for College and Career options.
Provide instructional time during the 3rd trimester to create and present student projects in every classroom. College and career options will be explored through class presentations to highlight options for professions, university options and trade schools. In the 3rd trimester, teachers will collaborate on lesson planning for their grade level's video or power point classroom Open House presentations and organize College and Career materials. Whitehead will continue to develop the site STEM program, increase STEM materials, and provide enrichment activities and assemblies. There will be budgetary support to enhance the VAPA program in assemblies, field trips, PD (Professional Development), collaboration time, materials and supplies, as well as supporting student performances. Utilize on-line STEM (Science Technology Engineering and Math) programs to address learning loss due to Covid-19. Provide resources to create videos or powerpoints for College and Career options. Provide instructional time and project timelines for each trimester to create and showcase student projects. Each class will improve their awareness of various colleges and different careers as they prepare class presentations for their peers to learn about various universities, trade schools and professions.
*Planning Time
*College and Career Materials
Provide each class to have an opportunity to have docents or members from the community come in and teach at least one directed art lesson to each class. All 5 th and 6th graders will be provided with an opportunity to take an instrumental band.
*Materials and Supplies
*Art Docents


#### Abstract

*Extended Duty Enrichment activities for students (Cross country, music, STEM, folkloric, science night)


## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
8950

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
Teachers were unable to prepare their grade level's video/power point presentation due to the school schedule. Assemblies, field trips and student performances were put on hold during the 2021 school year. STEM activities were utilized through on-line platforms to address learning loss due to Covid-19.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
The intended implementation and budgeted expenditures that were planned, did not occur as expected. Implementation and budgeted expenditures were shifted to support supplies/materials for distance learning during the Covid-19 pandemic.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
With the return to in-person learning, the goals and outcomes from 2020-2021 will continue in 2021-2022 with small changes found in Goal 1. For 2021-2022, the attendance rate at Open House/Science Night will show that $90 \%$ of families attended Open House/Science Night. The percentage of students participating in one STEM presentation in 2021-2022 will show $95 \%$ of the students participated in one STEM presentation. In prior years, Whitehead had a high participation rate in fine arts. For 2020-2021, just 3\% of 5th/6th graders were in band/strings. During 2021-2022, the opportunity to participate in instrumental band or strings will be offered to all 5th/6th graders and will show that $15 \%$ of the 5th/6th grade classes participated in band/strings for 2021-2022.

ASES (After School Education and Safety) will offer a VAPA class to all students with a minimum of $85 \%$ of the students participating. Each teacher will create and implement one VAPA lesson each trimester with $100 \%$ participation.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Each student's individual social-emotional and academic needs will be met through quality first instruction, enrichment, and intervention, in a safe and supportive environment.

## Goal 2

Each student's individual social-emotional and academic needs will be met through quality first instruction, enrichment, and intervention, in a safe and supportive environment.

## Identified Need

The iReady data, dashboard data and school based data were reviewed during the needs assessments with stakeholder groups and it was determined that although both ELA and Mathematics showed growth (moving from orange to yellow), further growth needs to occur. In particular, math concepts and procedures as well as writing need to show growth. Implementation of the core ELA and Math is lacking in consistency. The writing program is not articulated from one grade to the next. PLC's (Professional Learning Communities) need to be utilized to focus staff on data-driven lesson design in both ELA and Mathematics. SEL (Social Emotional Learning) activities, events, and field trips will support the SEL needs of the students.

Chronic Absenteeism is clearly shown to be a need based on dashboard data, needs assessments and in the data review pages. Chronic Absenteeism is the only area on the dashboard that did not show improvement and remains red.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Performance level on ELA <br> (English Language Arts) and <br> Math Academic Indicator. |
| Performance level on English <br> Learner Progress Indicator <br> (ELPI) |
| Percentage of students that <br> Meets and Exceeds Standards <br> level on SBAC (Smarter <br> Balanced Assessment |

Baseline/Actual Outcome
As measured by the California Dashboard, Whitehead is yellow in ELA and Mathematics.

As measured by the California Dashboard, $46 \%$ of students are making progress towards learning English, but 23\% of Whitehead's EL students decreased by one ELPI Level.
The percent of students that Meet or Exceed Standards level on the SBAC in ELA is 44.24\%

## Expected Outcome

Whitehead will progress towards green in ELA and Math with All Students showing a $5 \%$ improvement in each subject.
The percentage of EL students decreasing by one ELPI will not exceed $15 \%$.

The percent of students that Meet or Exceed Standard level on the SBAC in ELA will be 50\%.

Consortium) English Language Arts.
Percentage of students in both the Meets and Exceeds Standards level on SBAC (Smarter Balanced Assessment Consortium) Math.
Number of students who are chronically absent

Student sense of safety and school connectedness

Parent/family satisfaction on Healthy Kids Survey, on key indicators
Percentage of students who reach growth targets on iReady in Reading and Math (elementary only)

Baseline/Actual Outcome

The percentage of students that Meet or Exceed Standards level on the SBAC in Mathematices is $34.09 \%$.
$14.7 \%$ or 62 students are Chronically Absent.
$57 \%$ of the students in fifth grade responded to the CHKS (California Healthy Kids Survey). Of those, $56 \%$ feel a sense of school connectedness. $9 \%$ of the students feel unsafe at school.

As measured by the California Dashboard, Whitehead is green in suspension rate, with $1.2 \%$ of students suspended.

Not enough parent surveys for a CHKS report to be available. Baseline 0\%

In Reading, 31\% of students met their Typical Growth Target for mid-year. In Math, $19 \%$ of students met their Typical Growth Target for midyear.

Expected Outcome

The percentage of students that Meet or Exceed Standards level on the SBAC will be $45 \%$.

Less than $10 \%$ of the student population will be Chronically Absent, a reduction of student numbers to less than 42.

The percent of students who respond to the CHKS will increase to $95 \%$. Students' sense of school connectness will increase to $85 \%$. The percent of students who do not feel safe at school will decrease to $3 \%$.

As measuered by the California Dashboard, Whitehead will progress towards blue in suspension rate, reducing the suspension rate to less than .5\%
$50 \%$ of 5th grade parents will complete the CHKS.

In Reading, 34\% of students will meet their Typical Growth Target for the end of the year. In Math, 25\% of students will meet their Typical Growth Target for the end of the year.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)
English Learners, Socioeconomically Disadvantaged, Foster and Homeless

Strategy/Activity
Provide a comprehensive and challenging educational program while also addressing the needs of the whole child.
By providing professional growth in Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) for staff, a school culture and climate of caring for all and supporting for all will be created. In addition, MTSS (Multi-Tiered System of Supports) will continue to meet in a Tier II Team meeting every two weeks to determine which students most need direct trauma-informed instruction. Based upon stakeholder input, we will also improve student attendance/school climate/school culture through strategies including: Tardies no longer counting against class recognition, Monday announcements for classes with 10 days of full attendance in a month, Attendance Clerk contacting the family of students who are absent twice in one week, enhancement of the Monthly Awards Assembly, Weekly Family Fun Lunch, School Store, Sanford-Harmony SEL (Social Emotional Learning) implementation, Student Leadership Team, and the Friday Spirit Day Contest. Student store supplies to improve Chronic Absenteeism.

Extra duty for yard/cafeteria supervision to increase student safety, thereby raising attendance due to students feeling safe on campus. Extra duty for the office when the office needs coverage to provide support to students, families and staff. Extra duty for supervision of students. Substitutes for certificated for Academic Conferences and collaboration.

Custodial supplies to maintain/improve quality of safety in buildings.
Student performance will be a focus for the teaching staff. All teachers will participate in PLC's (Professional Learning Communities) and professional development in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science to increase student achievement. Professional development in PBIS and Distance Learning will provide Social Emotional Learning strategies for teachers to support student need, thereby decreasing Chronic Absenteeism. Substitutes will be provided to support teachers attending PLC's. Substitutes will be provided for professional development, collaboration, and peer observations.

Supplementary supplies to support student achievement will include office supplies and materials, classroom supplies and materials, substitutes for TK-1st grade teachers to individually assess students, copier/Riso costs, paper, software, postage and laminator film.

A chronic absenteeism plan will be developed through the Attendance Works program. This plan will include professional development, extra duty for staff to attend, extra duty pay for staff to implement and conduct home visits/virtual visits and a materials and supplies list by grade level.

Parent involvement in committees and activities.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
43,162.00
1,127

## Source(s)

## Supplemental/Concentration

Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.

Strategies and activities were implemented as much as possible during the 20-21 school year. The SBAC and Dashboard data were not updated due to Covid.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.

The intended implementation was negatively impacted by Covid. For 2021-2022, the budget will be aligned to in-person instruction in order to align strategies/activiities with appropriate funding sources.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Student sense of safety and school connectedness has been updated under Goal 2 Annual Measurable Outcomes.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Accelerate the academic achievement and English proficiency of each English Learner through an assets oriented approach, and standards based instruction.

## Goal 3

Accelerate the academic achievement and English proficiency of each English Learner through an assets oriented approach, and standards based instruction.

## Identified Need

Stakeholder groups reviewed the dashboard and concluded that our English Learners' performance in both ELA and Mathematics needs to improve. The English learners show a high chronic absenteeism rate, varying supports at school and at home, and little connection to the curriculum. These are issues of concern, as they negatively impact the achievement gap of our English learners(EL's) . The EL Roadmap in Principle \#1: Assets-Oriented and Needs-Responsive Schools, shows a score of 2 (Somewhat Responsive) for all areas. For Principle \#2: Intellectual Quality of Instruction and Meaningful Access, 6 of 7 areas show a score of 2, and a single area (Teaching and Learning) shows a score of 1 (Minimally or Not at All Responsive). According to the EL RoadMap, Whitehead is lacking in rigor for our English learners. We also need to improve on becoming a student-centered school.

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Reclassification rate for English |
| Learners |
| English Learner Progress <br> Indicator |
| School rating of EL (English |
| Learner) Roadmap Principle 1 |
| on the self-assessment |

Baseline/Actual Outcome
The percent of students being reclassified is $22.7 \%$.

46\% are making progress towards English language proficiency.

Principle 1: Assets-Oriented and Needs Responsive Schools
2.5- Languages and cultures
are assets
2- No single EL profile
2.5- School climate is affirming, inclusive, safe
1.5-Strong family and school partnerships

## Expected Outcome

The percent of students being reclassified will be $30 \%$ percent.
50\% of English learners will make progress towards English language proficiency.

Increase to 3 (Responsive)
Metric/Indicator Baseline/Actual Outcome Expected Outcome

2- Supporting English
Learners with disabilities
Average 2.25

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1 <br> Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity <br> (Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups) <br> English Learners

## Strategy/Activity

Provide designated and integrated ELD (English Language Development) and provide professional development to improve instructional strategies and scaffolding for EL's. To support teachers in gaining the skills necessary to provide students with effective instruction in ELD, professional development at an ELD conference as well as coaching and modeling with the support of our English Learner specialists, will be provided. To ensure the academic success of our English learners, additional differentiation and intervention will be provided, including materials and supplies. To communicate effectively with parents/guardians regarding their student's academic achievement and other needs, translators will be provided for conferences, SST's (Student Study Teams), 504's and IEP's (Individual Education Plans).

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
1,350

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
ELD was provided by the general education staff for the 2021-2022 school year. The effectiveness of the implemented stategies/activities was negatively impacted by distance learning.

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
The budget was modified to support student supplies and materials rather than for Professional Development to support student learning during Covid.

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
Full implementation of the goals, outcomes, metrics and strategies/activities will occur in the 20212022 school year.

## Goals, Strategies, \& Proposed Expenditures

Complete a copy of the following table for each of the school's goals. Duplicate the table as needed.

## LEA/LCAP Goal

Provide meaningful engagement and leadership opportunities for youth to directly and significantly shape each student's education and school community

## Goal 4

Provide meaningful engagement and leadership opportunities for youth to directly and significantly shape each student's education and school community

## Identified Need

Student engagement and leadership

## Annual Measurable Outcomes

| Metric/Indicator |
| :--- |
| Number of partnerships with |
| the community and other |
| programs that provide students |
| with opportunities to get |
| engaged |
| Number of extracurricular <br> programs offered <br> Number and percent of <br> students providing input to the <br> SPSA (School Plan for Student <br> Achievement) through surveys <br> Number and percent of <br> students by representative <br> demographic providing input to <br> the SPSA through focus <br> groups |


| Baseline/Actual Outcome |
| :--- |
| Masons <br> Elks <br> Lions |
| 1-Cross Country |
|  |
| 42 Students (26\%) of 4th/5th |
| and 6th grades provided input |
| to the SPSA through surveys. |
| 12 Students (13\% of 4th-6th |
| grades) comprised of : |
| 7 EL (English Leaners) |
| Spanish |
| 1 EL Urdu |
| 1 migrant |
| 1 Foster Program |
| 3 males/9 females |

## Expected Outcome

Connect student leadership to community partnerships with 90\% of Student Leadership Team participating.
$50 \%$ of the students in the grade levels participating will compete in the Cross Country program
$85 \%$ of 3 rd-6th grade students will complete the survey

Student leadership will meet monthly. Student leadership will engage with community partners and student voice involvement at the school site with $90 \%$ participation. Increase student involvement in leadership to 20 students.

Complete a copy of the Strategy/Activity table for each of the school's strategies/activities. Duplicate the table, including Proposed Expenditures, as needed.

## Strategy/Activity 1

## Students to be Served by this Strategy/Activity

(Identify either All Students or one or more specific student groups)

## All Students

Strategy/Activity
Two students from each 4th-6h grade classroom from diverse backgrounds will comprise the Student Leadership Team (SLT). The SLT shall work with their homeroom class to collect surveys, polls, etc. The SLT will connect with community partners to expand opportunities for all students to engage with these organizations. The SLT, the SLT Teacher Lead and the principal shall provide a $1 / 2$ day of training for the Student Leadership Team with materials and supplies included.

## Proposed Expenditures for this Strategy/Activity

List the amount(s) and funding source(s) for the proposed expenditures. Specify the funding source(s) using one or more of the following: LCFF, Federal (if Federal identify the Title and Part, as applicable), Other State, and/or Local.

Amount(s)
200

Source(s)
Supplemental/Concentration

## Annual Review

## SPSA Year Reviewed: 2020-21

Respond to the following prompts relative to this goal. If the school is in the first year of implementing the goal, an analysis is not required and this section may be deleted.

## ANALYSIS

Describe the overall implementation of the strategies/activities and the overall effectiveness of the strategies/activities to achieve the articulated goal.
N/A first year of the goal

Briefly describe any major differences between the intended implementation and/or the budgeted expenditures to implement the strategies/activities to meet the articulated goal.
N/A first year of the goal

Describe any changes that will be made to this goal, the annual outcomes, metrics, or strategies/activities to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis. Identify where those changes can be found in the SPSA.
N/A first year of the goal

## Budget Summary

Complete the table below. Schools may include additional information. Adjust the table as needed. The Budget Summary is required for schools funded through the ConApp, and/or that receive funds from the LEA for Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI).

## Budget Summary

## Description

Total Funds Provided to the School Through the Consolidated Application
Total Federal Funds Provided to the School from the LEA for CSI
Total Funds Budgeted for Strategies to Meet the Goals in the SPSA

## Amount

```
$39,912
```

$\$ 93,574.00$

## Other Federal, State, and Local Funds

List the additional Federal programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Adjust the table as needed. If the school is not operating a Title I schoolwide program this section is not applicable and may be deleted.

## Federal Programs

Title I Part A: Basic Grants Low-Income and Neglected
Title I Part A: Parent Involvement

## Allocation (\$)

\$38,785.00
\$1,127.00

Subtotal of additional federal funds included for this school: \$39,912.00
List the State and local programs that the school is including in the schoolwide program. Duplicate the table as needed.

## State or Local Programs

Supplemental/Concentration

Subtotal of state or local funds included for this school: \$53,662.00
Total of federal, state, and/or local funds for this school: \$93,574.00

Allocation (\$)
\$53,662.00

## School Site Council Membership

California Education Code describes the required composition of the School Site Council (SSC). The SSC shall be composed of the principal and representatives of: teachers selected by teachers at the school; other school personnel selected by other school personnel at the school; parents of pupils attending the school selected by such parents; and, in secondary schools, pupils selected by pupils attending the school. The current make-up of the SSC is as follows:

## 1 School Principal

3 Classroom Teachers
1 Other School Staff
5 Parent or Community Members

| Name of Members | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| James Evans | Principal |
| Ronni Bassett | Classroom Teacher |
| Kandy Neilson | Other School Staff |
| Alysia Phillips | Classroom Teacher |
| Thomas Betz | Classroom Teacher |
| Nick Hernandez | Parent or Community Member |
| Griselda Alvarez | Parent or Community Member |
| Lindy Verdugo | Parent or Community Member |
| Ededina Moreno | Parent or Community Member |
| Matt Settles |  |

At elementary schools, the school site council must be constituted to ensure parity between (a) the principal, classroom teachers, and other school personnel, and (b) parents of students attending the school or other community members. Classroom teachers must comprise a majority of persons represented under section (a). At secondary schools there must be, in addition, equal numbers of parents or other community members selected by parents, and students. Members must be selected by their peer group.

## Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.
The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:
Signature Committee or Advisory Group Name


The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this SPSA and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This SPSA is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This SPSA was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 4/20/2021.
Attested:


Principal, James Evans on 4/20/2021

SSC Chairperson, Kandy Neilson on 4/20/2021

